



NURSING SIMULATION RESEARCH GRANT 2026 PROPOSAL RUBRIC



TITLE: _____

INSTRUCTIONS: Please complete the rubric below for each proposal you have been assigned. Only full score point values defined below will be recognized.

Criteria	Points			Score
	0	3	5	
Background: The proposal addresses gaps or makes the case using current literature to further the state of the science of virtual simulation.	The proposal does not address gaps or does not make the case using current literature to further the state of the science of virtual simulation.	The proposal partially addresses gaps or partially makes the case using current literature to further the state of the science of virtual simulation.	The proposal addresses gaps or makes the case using current literature to further the state of the science of virtual simulation.	
Study Aim: There is a clear research question or purpose statement present.	There is no research question or purpose statement.	There is a partially clear research question or purpose statement.	There is a clear research question or purpose statement.	
There is a theoretical framework clearly identified and researchers explain links to research question or purpose statement and methods.	There is no theoretical framework identified and researchers do not explain links to research questions or purpose statements and methods.	There is a theoretical framework identified and researchers partially explain links to the research question or purpose statement and methods.	There is a theoretical framework clearly identified and researchers explain links to research question or purpose statement and methods.	
Methods are clearly stated and match the research question or purpose statement.	Residential and commercial development.	Methods are presented but hard to discern or the methods do not clearly match the purpose statement.	Methods are very clearly stated and match the research question or purpose statement.	
References <5 years.	No references listed.	References are outside of timeframe and no discussion if seminal work.	References are current and relevant.	
The writing style is scholarly and clear to the reader.	Writing style was not scholarly and was not clear to the reader.	Writing style was mostly scholarly and/or mostly clear to the reader.	Writing style was scholarly and was clear to the reader.	

1. Does this research have IRB approval? ☐ Yes ☐ Submitted ☐ No ☐ Not Human Subjects Research

Total:

2. Is there a letter of support from the host institution? Yes \ No

3. Is there a realistic timeframe? Yes \ No

4. Is this proposal appropriate for SURG? Yes \ No

REVIEWER: _____ SUBMISSION TITLE: _____ SUBMISSION NUMBER: _____

INSTRUCTIONS: Please complete the rubric below for each proposal you have been assigned. Only full score point values defined below will be recognized.

Criteria	Points			Score
	0	3	5	
Background: The proposal addresses gaps or makes the case using current literature to further the state of the science of virtual simulation.	The proposal does not address gaps or does not make the case using current literature to further the state of the science of virtual simulation.	The proposal partially addresses gaps or partially makes the case using current literature to further the state of the science of virtual simulation.	The proposal addresses gaps or makes the case using current literature to further the state of the science of virtual simulation.	
Study Aim: There is a clear research question or purpose statement present.	There is no research question or purpose statement.	There is a partially clear research question or purpose statement.	There is a clear research question or purpose statement.	
There is a theoretical framework clearly identified and researchers explain links to research question or purpose statement and methods.	There is no theoretical framework identified and researchers do not explain links to research questions or purpose statements and methods.	There is a theoretical framework identified and researchers partially explain links to the research question or purpose statement and methods.	There is a theoretical framework clearly identified and researchers explain links to research question or purpose statement and methods.	
Methods are clearly stated and match the research question or purpose statement.	Residential and commercial development.	Methods are presented but hard to discern or the methods do not clearly match the purpose statement.	Methods are very clearly stated and match the research question or purpose statement.	
References <5 years.	No references listed.	References are outside of timeframe and no discussion if seminal work.	References are current and relevant.	
The writing style is scholarly and clear to the reader.	Writing style was not scholarly and was not clear to the reader.	Writing style was mostly scholarly and/or mostly clear to the reader.	Writing style was scholarly and was clear to the reader.	

1. Does this research have IRB approval? ☐ Yes ☐ Submitted ☐ No ☐ Not Human Subjects Research

Total:

2. Is there a letter of support from the host institution? Yes \ No

3. Is there a realistic timeframe? Yes \ No

4. Is this proposal appropriate for SURG? Yes \ No